arXiv:0807.4621v1 [math.PR] 29 Jul 2008

The $M_t/M_t/K_t + M_t$ queue in heavy traffic

Anatolii A. Puhalskii
University of Colorado Denver and
Institute for Problems in Information Transmission

July 29, 2008

Abstract

We prove a heavy traffic limit theorem for a non-time homogeneous Markovian many server queue with customer abandonment.

1 Introduction

Many server queues with abandonment have been extensively studied in the literature because of their applications to modeling call centers, see, e.g., Garnett, Mandelbaum, and Reiman [1], Whitt [7, 8], Zeltyn and Mandelbaum [9]. One can assume that the number of servers, K, and the arrival rate, λ, are large so the asymptotics as both K and λ tend to infinity provide good approximations for the performance measures. Depending on the offered load $\lambda/(K\mu)$, where μ is the service rate at an individual server, there are three distinct regimes which loosely speaking are defined as follows: quality driven (QD) when the offered load is less than 1, efficiency driven (ED) when the offered load is greater than 1, and quality-and-efficiency driven (QED) when the offered load is approximately equal to 1. The heavy traffic limit theorems that substantiate the associated approximations are usually proved separately for each regime, see Pang, Talreja, and Whitt [3] and references therein. In this paper we obtain a limit theorem for the number of customers which does not make any apriori assumptions about the offered load. The results for the different regimes follow as particular cases. Furthermore, the arrival, abandonment and service rates as well as the number of servers are assumed to be functions of time. This may account for the request rates, service rates and staff allocation depending on the time of day. In the case of constant arrival, abandonment, and service rates and a constant number of servers we derive the asymptotics of the steady-state distribution of the number of customers present. As it turns out, in the QED regime the stationary distribution is quite sensitive to the initial conditions. The proofs draw on Liptser and Shiryayev [2], Smorodinskii [6], and Puhalskii [4, 5]. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the main results are stated and proved. In Section 3. the stationary distributions are considered.

2 A heavy traffic limit theorem

We consider a sequence of $M_t/M_t/K_t + M_t$ queues indexed by $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The nth queue is fed by a Poisson process of rate λ^n_t at time t. The customers are served by one of the K^n_t servers on a FCFS basis. If at a certain epoch, a server serving a customer becomes unavailable, so K^n_t decreases, then the customer being served is relegated to the queue. The service times are i.i.d. exponential of rate μ_t. The customers may also abandon the queue after an exponentially distributed time with parameter θ_t. The functions λ^n_t, μ_t, and θ_t are assumed to be positive locally integrable functions, i.e., $\int_0^t \lambda^n_s \, ds < \infty$, $\int_0^t \mu_s \, ds < \infty$, and $\int_0^t \theta_s \, ds < \infty$. The functions K^n_t are positive, Lebesgue measurable, and locally bounded, i.e., $\sup_{s \in [0,t]} K^n_s < \infty$. The number of customer present at time 0, the arrival process, the service times, and the abandonment times are mutually independent.

We denote A^n_t the number of exogenous arrivals by time t. It is a Poisson process of rate λ^n_t by hypotheses. Customer abandonment will be modelled via independent Poisson processes $R^{n,i}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, of rate θ_t and customer service times will be modelled via independent Poisson processes $B^{n,i}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, of rate μ_t. Let Q^n_0 denote the initial number of customers. We assume that all these entities are defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$. All stochastic processes are assumed to have trajectories in the associated Skorohod space $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$ of rightcontinuous functions with lefthand limits. We model the evolution of the customer population by the following equation

$$Q^n_t = Q^n_0 + A^n_t - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{Q^n_{s-} \geq K^n_{s-} + i\}} \, dR^{n,i}_s - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{Q^n_{s-} \wedge K^n_{s-} \geq i\}} \, dB^{n,i}_s \, . \tag{2.1}$$

The third term on the right represents the number of customers who abandoned the queue by time t and the last term represents the number of service completions by time t .

Denote

$$M^{n,A}_t = A^n_t - \int_0^t \lambda^n_s \, ds, \tag{2.2a}$$

$$M^{n,R}_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{Q^n_{s-} \geq K^n_{s-} + i\}} \, dR^{n,i}_s - \int_0^t \theta_s (Q^n_s - K^n_s)^+ \, ds, \tag{2.2b}$$

$$M^{n,B}_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{Q^n_{s-} \wedge K^n_{s-} \geq i\}} \, dB^{n,i}_s - \int_0^t \mu_s \, Q^n_s \wedge K^n_s \, ds. \tag{2.2c}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}^n_t$ denote the completion with respect to $\mathbf{P}$ of the σ-algebra generated by Q^n_0, A^n_s, $s \leq t$,, $R^{n,i}_s$, $s \leq t$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $B^{n,i}_s$, $s \leq t$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The associated filtration is denoted $\mathbb{F}^n = (\mathcal{F}^n_t, \, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$. The processes $M^{n,A} = (M^{n,A}_t, \, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$, $M^{n,R} = (M^{n,R}_t, \, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$, and $M^{n,B} = (M^{n,B}_t, \, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ are $\mathbb{F}^n$-locally square integrable martingales with respective predictable quadratic variation processes

$$\langle M^{n,A} \rangle_t = \int_0^t \lambda^n_s \, ds, \tag{2.3a}$$

$$\langle M^{n,R} \rangle_t = \int_0^t \theta_s \, (Q^n_s - K^n_s)^+ \, ds, \tag{2.3b}$$

$$\langle M^{n,B} \rangle_t = \int_0^t \mu_s \, Q^n_s \wedge K^n_s \, ds. \tag{2.3c}$$

In addition, since the processes $A^n = (A^n_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$, $R^{n,i} = (R^{n,i}_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$, and $B^{n,i} = (B^{n,i}_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ have $\mathbf{P}$-a.s. disjoint jumps, these locally square integrable martingales are pairwise orthogonal, i.e., their mutual characteristics are equal to zero:

$$\langle M^{n,A}, M^{n,R}\rangle_t = \langle M^{n,A}, M^{n,B}\rangle_t = \langle M^{n,R}, M^{n,B}\rangle_t = 0\,. \tag{2.4}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that Q_0^n/n converges in probability to a constant q_0 as $n \to \infty$, that $\int_0^t \lambda_s^n/n\, ds \to \int_0^t \lambda_s\, ds$ for all t, where (λ_t) is a positive locally integrable function, and that $K_t^n/n \to k_t$ uniformly on bounded intervals of t, where (k_t) is a positive locally bounded Lebesgue measurable function. Then, for all $T > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}\big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\frac{Q_t^n}{n} - q_t| > \epsilon\big) = 0,$$

where (q_t) is the solution of the integral equation

$$q_t = q_0 + \int_0^t \lambda_s\, ds - \int_0^t \theta_s (q_s - k_s)^+\, ds - \int_0^t \mu_s\, q_s \wedge k_s\, ds.$$

Proof. We first note that the integral equation for (q_t) has a unique solution which follows by the fact that the infinitesimal drift coefficients are Lipshitz continuous.

By (2.1), (2.2a), (2.2b), and (2.2c),

$$\frac{Q_t^n}{n} = \frac{Q_0^n}{n} + \int_0^t \frac{\lambda_s^n}{n}\, ds - \int_0^t \theta_s \big(\frac{Q_s^n}{n} - \frac{K_s^n}{n}\big)^+\, ds - \int_0^t \mu_s\, \frac{Q_s^n}{n} \wedge \frac{K_s^n}{n}\, ds + \frac{1}{n}\, M_t^{n,A} - \frac{1}{n}\, M_t^{n,R} - \frac{1}{n}\, M_t^{n,B}\,. \tag{2.5}$$

We prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}\big(\frac{1}{n} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |M_t^{n,i}| > \delta\big) = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

for $i = A, R, B$, where $T > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ are otherwise arbitrary. The Lenglart-Rebolledo inequality, Liptser and Shiryayev [2], implies that it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}\big(\frac{1}{n^2}\, \langle M^{n,i}\rangle_t > \delta\big) = 0\,. \tag{2.7}$$

The validity of (2.7) for $i = A$ and $i = B$ follows by (2.3a) and (2.3c), respectively, and the hypotheses that $\int_0^t \big(\lambda_s^n/n - \lambda\big)\, ds \to 0$ and that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{s\in[0,t]} K_s^n/n < \infty$. In order to establish (2.7) for $i = R$, we note that by (2.1) $Q_t^n/n \le Q_0^n/n + A_t^n/n$. Since the latter quantity converges in probability to $q_0 + \int_0^t \lambda_s\, ds$ as $n \to \infty$, it follows that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}(Q_t^n/n > q_0 + \int_0^t \lambda_s\, ds + 1) = 0$, so (2.7) for $i = B$ is a consequence of (2.3b). The limits in (2.6) have been proved.

By (2.5) and the equation for (q_t) in the statement of the theorem

$$\begin{aligned} |\frac{Q_t^n}{n} - q_t| \le |\frac{Q_0^n}{n} - q_0| + |\int_0^t \big(\frac{\lambda_s^n}{n} - \lambda\big)\, ds| + \int_0^t (\theta_s + \mu_s) |\frac{Q_s^n}{n} - q_s|\, ds \\ + \int_0^t (\mu_s + \theta_s) q_s |\frac{K_s^n}{n} - k_s|\, ds + \frac{1}{n}\, |M_t^{n,A}| + \frac{1}{n}\, |M_t^{n,R}| + \frac{1}{n}\, |M_t^{n,B}|\,. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality, for $T > 0$,

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\frac{Q^n_t}{n} - q_t| \le \big(|\frac{Q^n_0}{n} - q_0| + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\int\limits_0^t \big(\frac{\lambda^n_s}{n} - \lambda\big)\, ds| + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \int\limits_0^t (\mu_s + \theta_s) q_s |\frac{K^n_s}{n} - k_s|\, ds$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |M^{n,A}_t| + \frac{1}{n} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |M^{n,R}_t| + \frac{1}{n} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |M^{n,B}_t|\big) e^{\int_0^T (\theta_t+\mu_t)\, dt}\,.$$

By (2.6) and the hypotheses, the righthand side tends in probability to zero as $n \to \infty$. (Note that the convergence $\int_0^t \lambda^n_s/n\, ds \to \int_0^t \lambda_s\, ds$ is uniform on bounded intervals under the hypotheses.) ☐

Corollary 2.1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, the processes* $M^{n,A}/\sqrt{n}$, $M^{n,R}/\sqrt{n}$, *and* $M^{n,B}/\sqrt{n}$ *converge in distribution in* $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$ *to the respective processes* $M^A = (M^A_t,\, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$, $M^R = (M^R_t,\, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$, *and* $M^B = (M^B_t,\, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$, *defined as follows:*

$$M^A_t = \int\limits_0^t \sqrt{\lambda_s}\, dW^A_s,$$

$$M^R_t = \int\limits_0^t \sqrt{\theta_s (q_s - k_s)^+}\, dW^R_s,$$

$$M^B_t = \int\limits_0^t \sqrt{\mu_s\, q_s \wedge k_s}\, dW^B_s,$$

where $W^A = (W^A_t,\, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$, $W^R = (W^R_t,\, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$, *and* $W^B = (W^B_t,\, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ *are independent standard Wiener processes.*

Proof. The processes $M^{n,A}/\sqrt{n}$, $M^{n,R}/\sqrt{n}$, and $M^{n,B}/\sqrt{n}$ are $\mathbb{F}^n$-locally square integrable martingales. By (2.3a), (2.3b), (2.3c), and (2.4) they are mutually orthogonal and their respective predictable quadratic variation processes are given by

$$\langle \frac{M^{n,A}}{\sqrt{n}} \rangle_t = \int\limits_0^t \frac{\lambda^n_s}{n}\, ds,$$

$$\langle \frac{M^{n,R}}{\sqrt{n}} \rangle_t = \int\limits_0^t \theta_s \big(\frac{Q^n_s}{n} - \frac{K^n_s}{n}\big)^+\, ds,$$

$$\langle \frac{M^{n,B}}{\sqrt{n}} \rangle_t = \int\limits_0^t \mu_s\, \frac{Q^n_s}{n} \wedge \frac{K^n_s}{n}\, ds.$$

By Theorem 2.1, the processes on the right converge in probability to the functions $\int_0^t \lambda_s\, ds$, $\int_0^t \theta_s (q_s - k_s)^+\, ds$, and $\int_0^t \mu_s\, q_s \wedge k_s\, ds$, respectively. (Actually the first convergence is deterministic.) We also have by (2.2a), (2.2b), and (2.2c) that the jumps of the processes $M^{n,A}/\sqrt{n}$, $M^{n,R}/\sqrt{n}$, and $M^{n,B}/\sqrt{n}$ are not greater than $1/\sqrt{n}$. The proof is finished by an application of Theorem 7.1.4 in Liptser and Shiryayev [2]. ☐

Introduce

$$X^n_t = \sqrt{n}\big(\frac{Q^n_t}{n} - q_t\big)\,. \tag{2.8}$$

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that* $\sqrt{n}\int_0^t\big(\lambda^n_s/n - \lambda_s\big)\,ds \to \int_0^t \alpha_s\,ds$ *as* $n\to\infty$ *uniformly on bounded intervals, where* (λ_t) *is a positive locally integrable function and* (α_t) *is a locally integrable function, that* $\sqrt{n}\big(K^n_t/n - k_t\big) \to \gamma_t$ *as* $n\to\infty$ *uniformly on bounded intervals, where* (k_t) *is a positive locally bounded Lebesgue measurable function and* (γ_t) *is a locally bounded Lebesgue measurable function, and that* X^n_0 *tends in distribution to a random variable* X_0 *as* $n\to\infty$ *. Then the process* $X^n = (X^n_t,\, t\in\mathbb{R}_+)$ *converges in distribution in* $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R})$ *to the process* $X = (X_t\,, t\in\mathbb{R}_+)$ *that is the solution of the equation*

$$X_t = X_0 + \int\limits_0^t \alpha_s\,ds - \int\limits_0^t \theta_s\big(\,\mathbf{1}_{\{q_s>k_s\}}\,(X_s-\gamma_s) + \mathbf{1}_{\{q_s=k_s\}}\,(X_s-\gamma_s)^+\big)\,ds$$

$$-\int\limits_0^t \mu_s\big(\,\mathbf{1}_{\{q_s<k_s\}}\,X_s + \mathbf{1}_{\{q_s=k_s\}}\,X_s\wedge\gamma_s + \mathbf{1}_{\{q_s>k_s\}}\,\gamma_s\big)\,ds + \int\limits_0^t \sqrt{\lambda_s + \theta_s(q_s-k_s)^+ + \mu_s\,q_s\wedge k_s}\,dW_s,$$

where $W = (W_t,\, t\in\mathbb{R}_+)$ *is a standard Wiener process.*

Proof. The equation for X has a unique strong solution by the infinitesimal drift coefficients being Lipshitz continuous. Denote $\gamma^n_t = \sqrt{n}(K^n_t/n - k_t)$. By (2.5), (2.8), and the equation for (q_t) in the statement of Theorem 2.1 we can write

$$X^n_t = X^n_0 + \int\limits_0^t \sqrt{n}\big(\frac{\lambda^n_s}{n} - \lambda_s\big)\,ds - \int\limits_0^t \theta_s\Big(\big(X^n_s + \sqrt{n}q_s - (\gamma^n_s + \sqrt{n}k_s)\big)^+ - \sqrt{n}(q_s-k_s)^+\Big)\,ds$$

$$-\int\limits_0^t \mu_s\Big(\big(X^n_s + \sqrt{n}q_s\big)\wedge\big(\gamma^n_s + \sqrt{n}k_s\big) - \sqrt{n}\,(q_s\wedge k_s)\Big)\,ds + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,M^{n,A}_t - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,M^{n,R}_t - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,M^{n,B}_t\,. \tag{2.9}$$

Hence,

$$|X^n_t| \le |X^n_0| + |\int\limits_0^t \sqrt{n}\big(\frac{\lambda^n_s}{n} - \lambda_s\big)\,ds| + \int\limits_0^t(\theta_s+\mu_s)|X^n_s|\,ds + \int\limits_0^t(\theta_s+\mu_s)|\gamma^n_s|\,ds$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,|M^{n,A}_t| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,|M^{n,R}_t| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,|M^{n,B}_t|\,.$$

Gronwall's inequality, the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, and Corollary 2.1 imply that for $T>0$

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathbf{P}\big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X^n_t| > r\big) = 0\,. \tag{2.10}$$

Also, for $s\le t$,

$$|X^n_t - X^n_s| \le |\int\limits_s^t \sqrt{n}\big(\frac{\lambda^n_u}{n} - \lambda_u\big)\,du| + \int\limits_s^t(\theta_u+\mu_u)|X^n_u|\,du + \int\limits_s^t(\theta_u+\mu_u)|\gamma^n_u|\,du$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,|M^{n,A}_t - M^{n,A}_s| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,|M^{n,R}_t - M^{n,R}_s| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,|M^{n,B}_t - M^{n,B}_s|\,.$$

Therefore, by (2.10), Corollary 2.1, and the hypotheses, for all $T > 0$, $\eta > 0$, and $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathbf{P}\big(\sup_{s,t\in[0,T]:\,|s-t|<\delta}|X^n_t - X^n_s| > \eta\big) = 0\,.$$

We conclude that the sequence X_n is C-tight, i.e., it is tight for convergence in distribution in $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R})$ and all its accumulation points are continuous-path processes. Let $\tilde{X}$ be such a subsequential limit. On noting that if a sequence of functions (x^n_t) converges for the Skorohod topology to a continuous function (x_t), then $\int_0^t \theta_s\big((x^n_s + \sqrt{n}q_s - (\gamma^n_s + \sqrt{n}k_s)\big)^+ - \sqrt{n}(q_s - k_s)^+\big)\,ds \to \int_0^t \theta_s\big(\mathbf{1}_{\{q_s>k_s\}}\,(x_s-\gamma_s) + \mathbf{1}_{\{q_s=k_s\}}\,(x_s-\gamma_s)^+\big)\,ds$ and $\int_0^t \mu_s\big((x^n_s+\sqrt{n}q_s)\wedge(\gamma^n_s+\sqrt{n}k_s) - \sqrt{n}\,(q_s\wedge k_s)\big)\,ds \to \int_0^t \mu_s\big(\mathbf{1}_{\{q_s<k_s\}}\,x_s + \mathbf{1}_{\{q_s=k_s\}}\,x_s\wedge\gamma_s + \mathbf{1}_{\{q_s>k_s\}}\,\gamma_s\big)\,ds$, and on recalling Corollary 2.1, we conclude from (2.9) that $\tilde{X}$ must satisfy the equation

$$\tilde{X}_t = \tilde{X}_0 + \int_0^t \alpha_s\,ds - \int_0^t \theta_s\big(\mathbf{1}_{\{q_s>k_s\}}\,(\tilde{X}_s-\gamma_s) + \mathbf{1}_{\{q_s=k_s\}}\,(\tilde{X}_s-\gamma_s)^+\big)\,ds$$
$$-\int_0^t \mu_s\big(\mathbf{1}_{\{q_s<k_s\}}\,\tilde{X}_s + \mathbf{1}_{\{q_s=k_s\}}\,\tilde{X}_s\wedge\gamma_s + \mathbf{1}_{\{q_s>k_s\}}\,\gamma_s\big)\,ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{\lambda_s + \theta_s(q_s-k_s)^+ + \mu_s\,q_s\wedge k_s}\,d\tilde{W}_s\,,$$

where $\tilde{W}$ is a standard Wiener process. Since the latter equation has a unique strong solution, $\tilde{X}$ coincides in law with X. ☐

Remark 2.1. One can allow θ_t and μ_t also depend on n. It is then necessary to require in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 that the (θ^n_t) and (μ^n_t) converge to (θ_t) and (μ_t), respectively, uniformly on bounded intervals.

3 Stationary distributions

In this section we assume constant arrival, service and abandonment rates, i.e., $\lambda^n_t = \lambda^n$, $\lambda_t = \lambda$, $\theta_t = \theta$, $\mu_t = \mu$, and $\alpha_t = \alpha$. The number of servers K^n_t is also assumed to be constant which we take as n, so $k_t = 1$. Accordingly, $\gamma_t = 0$. The equations for the fluid and diffusion approximation are as follows:

$$q_t = q_0 + \lambda t - \int_0^t \theta(q_s-1)^+\,ds - \int_0^t \mu\,q_s\wedge 1\,ds, \tag{3.1}$$

$$X_t = X_0 + \alpha t - \int_0^t \theta\big(\mathbf{1}_{\{q_s>1\}}\,X_s + \mathbf{1}_{\{q_s=1\}}\,X_s^+\big)\,ds$$
$$- \int_0^t \mu\big(\mathbf{1}_{\{q_s<1\}}\,X_s - \mathbf{1}_{\{q_s=1\}}\,(-X_s)^+\big)\,ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{\lambda + \theta(q_s-1)^+ + \mu\,q_s\wedge 1}\,dW_s, \tag{3.2}$$

We first investigate stationary solutions for (q_t).

Lemma 3.1. *If $\lambda \ge \mu$, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} q_t = (\lambda-\mu)/\theta + 1$. If $\lambda \le \mu$, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} q_t = \lambda/\mu$. For all t, $q_t \ne 1$ except when $q_0 = 1$ and $\lambda = \mu$ in which case $q_t = 1$ for all t.*

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda > \mu$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big(q_t - \frac{\lambda-\mu}{\theta} - 1\big)^2 = 2\big(q_t - \frac{\lambda-\mu}{\theta} - 1\big)(\lambda - \theta(q_t-1)^+ - \mu\, q_t \wedge 1)\,.$$

If $q_t - (\lambda-\mu)/\theta - 1 \ge \epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$, then $\lambda - \theta(q_t-1)^+ - \mu\, q_t \wedge 1 \le -\theta\epsilon$. If $q_t - (\lambda-\mu)/\theta - 1 \le -\epsilon$ for $\epsilon \in (0, (\lambda-\mu)/\theta)$, then $\lambda - \theta(q_t-1)^+ - \mu\, q_t \wedge 1 \ge \theta\epsilon$. Hence, $q_t \to (\lambda-\mu)/\theta$ as $t \to \infty$.

If $\lambda < \mu$, then a similar argument applied to the function $(q_t - \lambda/\mu)^2$ shows that $q_t \to \lambda/\mu$. Suppose $\lambda = \mu$. Then $\dot{q}_t = \lambda(1-q_t)^+ - \theta(q_t-1)^+$. Hence, $(d/dt)(q_t-1)^2 = 2(q_t-1)\big(\lambda(1-q_t)^+ - \theta(q_t-1)^+\big) \le -2(\lambda\wedge\theta)(q_t-1)^2$. Consequently, $q_t \to 1$.

□

The existence of a unique stationary distribution for X^n and convergence to it from any initial condition is standard. We now consider X. It follows by Lemma 3.1 that if either $\lambda < \mu$ or $\lambda = \mu$ and $q_0 < 1$, then there exists t_0 such that $q_t < 1$ for all $t \ge t_0$ so that

$$X_t = X_{t_0} + \alpha(t-t_0) - \mu\int_{t_0}^{t} X_s\,ds + \int_{t_0}^{t}\sqrt{\lambda + \mu\, q_s}\,dW_s.$$

Hence,

$$X_t = X_{t_0}e^{-\mu(t-t_0)} + e^{-\mu(t-t_0)}\int_{t_0}^{t} e^{\mu(s-t_0)}\sqrt{\lambda+\mu\, q_s}\,dW_s + \frac{\alpha}{\mu}(1 - e^{-\mu(t-t_0)})\,.$$

The second term on the right is a zero-mean Gaussian random variable with variance $e^{-2\mu(t-t_0)}\int_{t_0}^{t} e^{2\mu(s-t_0)}(\lambda + \mu q_s)\,ds$. Since $q_t \to \lambda/\mu$, we obtain that this variance converges to λ/μ as $t \to \infty$. We have thus proved that X_t converges in distribution in $\mathbb{R}$ as $t \to \infty$ to a Gaussian random variable with mean α/μ and variance λ/μ.

If either $\lambda > \mu$ or $\lambda = \mu$ and $q_0 > 1$, then a similar argument shows that X_t converges in distribution as $t \to \infty$ to a Gaussian random variable with mean α/θ and variance λ/θ. If $\lambda = \mu$ and $q_0 = 1$, then

$$X_t = X_0 + \alpha t - \theta\int_0^t X_s^+\,ds + \mu\int_0^t (-X_s)^+\,ds + \sqrt{2\mu}W_t\,.$$

This process is known to have the invariant density $C\exp(\int_0^x(\alpha - \theta y^+ + \mu\,(-y)^+)\,dy/\mu)$, where C is the normalization constant.

We arrive at the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that* $\sqrt{n}(\lambda^n/n - \lambda) \to \alpha$ *as* $n \to \infty$. *If either* $\lambda < \mu$ *or* $\lambda = \mu$ *and* $q_0 < 1$, *then the stationary distributions of the processes* X^n *converge weakly to a Gaussian law with mean* α/μ *and variance* λ/μ. *If either* $\lambda > \mu$ *or* $\lambda = \mu$ *and* $q_0 > 1$, *then the stationary distributions of the processes* X^n *converge weakly to a Gaussian law with mean* α/θ *and variance* λ/θ. *If* $\lambda = \mu$ *and* $q_0 = 1$, *then the stationary distributions of the processes* X^n *converge weakly to a probability law with density* $C\exp((\alpha/\mu)x)\big(\exp(-(\theta/\mu)x^2/2)\,\mathbf{1}_{\{x\ge 0\}} + \exp(-x^2/2)\,\mathbf{1}_{\{x<0\}}\big)$.

Proof. We only need to check tightness of the sequence of the stationary distributions of the X^n, see, e.g., Liptser and Shiryayev [2], Puhalskii [5] and references therein. Denote $\alpha^n = \sqrt{n}(\lambda^n/n - \lambda)$.

Equation (2.9) assumes the form

$$X_t^n = X_0^n + \alpha^n t - \theta \int_0^t \Big(\big(X_s^n + \sqrt{n} q_s - \sqrt{n} \big)^+ - \sqrt{n} (q_s - 1)^+ \Big) \, ds$$
$$- \mu \int_0^t \Big(\big((X_s^n + \sqrt{n} q_s) \wedge \sqrt{n} \big) - \sqrt{n} \, (q_s \wedge 1) \Big) \, ds + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \, M_t^{n,A} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \, M_t^{n,R} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \, M_t^{n,B} \, .$$

Consequently, for $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(X_t^n)^2 = (X_0^n)^2 + 2 \int_0^t X_{s-}^n \, dX_s^n + \sum_{0<s\le t} (\Delta X_s^n)^2 = (X_0^n)^2 + 2\alpha^n \int_0^t X_s^n \, ds$$
$$- 2\theta \int_0^t X_s^n \Big(\big(X_s^n + \sqrt{n} q_s - \sqrt{n} \big)^+ - \sqrt{n} (q_s - 1)^+ \Big) \, ds - 2\mu \int_0^t X_s^n \Big(\big(X_s^n + \sqrt{n} q_s \big) \wedge \sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n} \, (q_s \wedge 1) \Big) \, ds$$
$$+ \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^t X_{s-}^n \, dM_s^{n,A} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^t X_{s-}^n \, dM_s^{n,R} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^t X_{s-}^n \, dM_s^{n,B} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0<s\le t} (\Delta M_s^{n,A})^2$$
$$+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0<s\le t} (\Delta M_s^{n,R})^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0<s\le t} (\Delta M_s^{n,B})^2 \le (X_0^n)^2 + 2\alpha^n \int_0^t X_s^n \, ds$$
$$- 2(\theta \wedge \mu) \int_0^t (X_s^n)^2 \, ds + \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^t X_{s-}^n \, dM_s^{n,A} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^t X_{s-}^n \, dM_s^{n,R} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^t X_{s-}^n \, dM_s^{n,B}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0<s\le t} (\Delta M_s^{n,A})^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0<s\le t} (\Delta M_s^{n,R})^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0<s\le t} (\Delta M_s^{n,B})^2$$
$$\le (X_0^n)^2 + |\alpha^n| \, \epsilon \, \Big(\int_0^t (X_s^n)^2 \, ds + \frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \Big) - 2(\theta \wedge \mu) \int_0^t (X_s^n)^2 \, ds$$
$$+ \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^t X_{s-}^n \, dM_s^{n,A} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^t X_{s-}^n \, dM_s^{n,R} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^t X_{s-}^n \, dM_s^{n,B}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0<s\le t} (\Delta M_s^{n,A})^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0<s\le t} (\Delta M_s^{n,R})^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0<s\le t} (\Delta M_s^{n,B})^2 \, .$$

We pick ϵ small enough so that $2\,\theta \wedge \mu - |\alpha^n|\epsilon > \delta > 0$ for some $\delta > 0$ and all n large enough. Then, for all n large enough,

$$(X_t^n)^2 \le (X_0^n)^2 + |\alpha^n| \, \frac{t}{\epsilon} - \delta \int_0^t (X_s^n)^2 \, ds$$
$$+ \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^t X_{s-}^n \, dM_s^{n,A} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^t X_{s-}^n \, dM_s^{n,R} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^t X_{s-}^n \, dM_s^{n,B}$$

$$+\frac{1}{n}\sum_{0<s\leq t}(\Delta M_s^{n,A})^2+\frac{1}{n}\sum_{0<s\leq t}(\Delta M_s^{n,R})^2+\frac{1}{n}\sum_{0<s\leq t}(\Delta M_s^{n,B})^2\,. \quad (3.3)$$

Let, for $c>0$, $\tau_c^n=\inf\{t: |X_t^n|>c\}$. It is an $\mathbb{F}^n$-stopping time. Since $|X_{t-}^n|\leq c$ for $t\leq\tau_c^n$, the processes $\bigl(\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_c^n}X_{s-}^n\,dM_s^{n,i},\,t\in\mathbb{R}_+\bigr)$, where $i=A,R,B$, are martingales for each n. Since the $M^{n,i}$ are purely discontinuous locally square integrable martingales, $\mathbf{E}\sum_{0<s\leq t\wedge\tau_c^n}(\Delta M_s^{n,i})^2=\mathbf{E}\langle M^{n,i}\rangle_{t\wedge\tau_c^n}$.

On assuming that $Q_0^n=0$ so that $X_0^n=0$ and taking expectations in (3.3) with $t\wedge\tau_c^n$ as t,

$$\mathbf{E}(X_{t\wedge\tau_c^n}^n)^2\leq|\alpha^n|\,\frac{t}{\epsilon}+\frac{1}{n}\bigl(\mathbf{E}\langle M^{n,A}\rangle_{t\wedge\tau_c^n}+\mathbf{E}\langle M^{n,R}\rangle_{t\wedge\tau_c^n}+\mathbf{E}\langle M^{n,B}\rangle_{t\wedge\tau_c^n}\bigr)-\delta\int_0^t\mathbf{E}(X_s^n)^2\,\mathbf{1}_{\{s\leq\tau_c^n\}}\,ds\,.$$

By (2.3a), (2.3b), and (2.3c),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n}\bigl(\mathbf{E}\langle M^{n,A}\rangle_{t\wedge\tau_c^n}+\mathbf{E}\langle M^{n,R}\rangle_{t\wedge\tau_c^n}+\mathbf{E}\langle M^{n,B}\rangle_{t\wedge\tau_c^n}\bigr)&\leq\bigl(\frac{\lambda^n}{n}+\mu\bigr)t+\theta\int_0^t\bigl(\frac{\mathbf{E}X_s^n\,\mathbf{1}_{\{s\leq\tau_c^n\}}}{\sqrt{n}}+q_s\bigr)\,ds\\&\leq\bigl(\frac{\lambda^n}{n}+\mu\bigr)t+\theta\int_0^t q_s\,ds+\theta\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}\,t+\theta\int_0^t\frac{\mathbf{E}(X_s^n)^2\,\mathbf{1}_{\{s\leq\tau_c^n\}}}{2\sqrt{n}}\,ds\,.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for arbitrary $\delta'\in(0,\delta)$, for all n large enough,

$$\mathbf{E}(X_{t\wedge\tau_c^n}^n)^2\leq|\alpha^n|\,\frac{t}{\epsilon}+\bigl(\frac{\lambda^n}{n}+\mu\bigr)t+\theta\int_0^t q_s\,ds+\theta\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}\,t-\delta'\int_0^t\mathbf{E}(X_s^n)^2\,\mathbf{1}_{\{s\leq\tau_c^n\}}\,ds\,.$$

Since $\tau_c^n\to\infty$ as $c\to\infty$, by Fatou's lemma and monotone convergence,

$$\mathbf{E}(X_t^n)^2\leq|\alpha^n|\,\frac{t}{\epsilon}+\bigl(\frac{\lambda^n}{n}+\mu\bigr)t+\theta\int_0^t q_s\,ds+\theta\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}\,t-\delta'\int_0^t\mathbf{E}(X_s^n)^2\,ds\,.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbf{E}(X_t^n)^2\leq\bigl(|\alpha^n|\,\frac{1}{\epsilon}+\frac{\lambda^n}{n}+\mu+\theta\sup_{s\in[0,t]}q_s+\theta\frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}\bigr)\frac{1}{\delta'}\bigl(1-e^{-\delta' t}\bigr)\,,$$

so

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\mathbf{E}(X_t^n)^2\leq\frac{|\alpha|}{\epsilon\delta'}+\frac{\lambda+\mu+\theta\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}q_t}{\delta'}\,.$$

The tightness of the stationary distributions of the processes X^n follows. The theorem has been proved. □

References

[1] O. Garnett, A. Mandelbaum, and M. Reiman. Designing a call center with impatient customers. *Manufacturing Service Oper. Management*, 4(3):208–227, 2002.

[2] R.Sh. Liptser and A.N. Shiryayev. *Theory of Martingales*. Kluwer, 1989.

[3] G. Pang, R. Talreja, and W. Whitt. Martingale proofs of many-server heavy-traffic limits for Markovian queues. *Probab. Surv.*, 4:193 – 267 (electronic), 2007.

[4] A. Puhalskii. *Large Deviations and Idempotent Probability*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2001.

[5] A. Puhalskii. On large deviation convergence of invariant measures. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 16(3):689–724, 2003.

[6] A. V. Smorodinskiĭ. Asymptotic distribution of queue length in a queueing system. *Avtomat. i Telemekh.*, (2):92–99, 1986.

[7] W. Whitt. Efficiency-driven heavy-traffic approximations for many-server queues with abandonment. *Management Sci.*, 50(10):1449–1461, October 2004.

[8] W. Whitt. Engineering solution of a basic call center model. *Management Sci.*, 51(2):221–235, February 2005.

[9] S. Zeltyn and A. Mandelbaum. Call centers with impatient customers: many-server asymptotics of the $M/M/n + G$ queue. *Queueing Syst.*, 51(3-4):361–402, 2005.